

No. 21-7916

---

---

In The  
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—  
JAMES H. FETZER,

*Petitioner,*

v.

LEONARD POZNER,

*Respondent.*

—◆—

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari  
To The Court Of Appeals Of Wisconsin**

—◆—

**AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF WORLD PEACE  
THROUGH EDUCATION FOUNDATION, INC.  
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—

WILLIAM SUMNER SCOTT  
511 S. Orange Avenue, #2088  
Newark, New Jersey 07103  
(908) 294-5363  
04wmsscott@comcast.net

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*

June 8, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table of Authorities .....	ii
Identity and Interest of Amicus Curiae .....	1
Summary of the Argument .....	1
Argument .....	2
A. Introduction .....	2
B. The NWO Big Picture .....	3
C. The Sandy Hook Big Picture .....	6
D. Lower Court Error .....	8
Conclusion.....	9

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Alex Jones, et al. v. Neil Haslin</i> , Texas Court of Appeals, Third District at Austin, No. 03-20-00008CV (2020).....	6
<i>Anderson National Bank v. Lockett</i> , 321 U.S. 233.....	8
<i>New World Commc'ns of Tampa, Inc. v. Akre, et al.</i> , 866 So. 2d 1231 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2003), reh'g granted, modified (2004).....	5
<i>Pozner v. Fetzer</i> , 27 Wis. Ct. App. 2021, 397 Wis. 2d 243, 959 N. W. 2d 89 (2021).....	6
<i>Neylan v. Vorwald</i> , 121 Wis. 2d 481, 360 N. W. 537 (Ct. App. 1984).....	8
<i>Soto v. Bushmaster, et al.</i> , 331 Conn. 53, 202 A. 3d 262 (2019).....	6
<i>Toledo Scale Co. v. Computing Scale Co.</i> , 261 U.S. 399 (1923) .....	8
<i>Walker v. Tobin</i> , 209 Wis. 2d 73, 568 N. W. 303 (1997).....	8
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	
Fourth Amendment, U.S. Constitution .....	8
Fourteenth Amendment, U.S. Constitution .....	8
Second Amendment, U.S. Constitution.....	6

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page
STATUTES	
18 U.S. Code §2385—Advocating Overthrow of Government. Smith-Mundt Modernization Act of 2012, H. R. 5735 .....	4, 5
United States Information and Educational Ex- change Act of 1948 (22 U.S. Code §1461).....	5
§806.07(2) Wis. Stat. ....	8
OTHER AUTHORITY	
September 11, 1990, President George H. W. Bush State of the Union address.....	4
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.....	2
National Archives and Records Administration.....	2
National Institute of Standards and Technology .....	2

## **IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE**

World Peace Through Education Foundation, Inc. (“WPTEFI”) is a 501(c)(3) qualified Florida non-profit engaged in the study of ethical government conduct. Its objectives include the promotion of an informed and active public participation in the political process, with special emphasis on the avoidance of war. It is funded by the solicitation of donations from the public. Its prior efforts have been focused on presentations to the U.S. Department of Education to encourage improvements in U.S. higher education. This is its first judicial filing.<sup>1</sup>



## **SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT**

The vision of the New World Order (“NWO”) conflicts with the preservation of the United States and the various state governments as separate entities.

---

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Fetzer and Mr. Pozner were provided more than ten days’ notice prior to the due date of WPTEFI intent to file this brief. In response to that notice, both, i.e., all parties have provided written consent to the filing of this brief. Counsel to WPTEFI served as co-counsel to Dr. Fetzer before the Wisconsin Appellate and Supreme Courts. While this case was pending before the Wisconsin courts, Dr. Fetzer made and solicited donations to WPTEFI. Although this brief was influenced by WPTEFI and its legal counsel’s prior relationships with Dr. Fetzer, other than review of this disclosure, Dr. Fetzer made no monetary contribution or written review toward the preparation of this brief. WPTEFI is solely responsible for its content and the solicitation of funds for its preparation from its members and the public.

This conflict, if understood by the American people, would be summarily rejected as the NWO includes the surrender by American citizens of their (i) ability to be defended by a strong military, (ii) superior standard of living, and (iii) freedoms, including the right to bear arms, protected by their Constitution.

The NWO is being imposed upon Americans by use of false flags, propaganda, and violence. This case concerns one of those false flags, the Sandy Hook Elementary School Mass Murder. The Amicus urges this court to accept the Petition for Writ and, thereafter, expand its review to include the NWO methods to the end that they be stopped.



## **ARGUMENT**

### **A. Introduction**

As evidenced by the (i) continuous push forward of release of documents by the National Archives and Records Administration related to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy; (ii) claims by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration that it went to the moon when the Van Allen Radiation Belt prevents that from happening; and, (iii) National Institute of Standards and Technology claim that World Trade Center Building 7 came down at free fall speed into its footprint as a result of office fires when those fires could not have generated sufficient heat to accomplish that result, the Federal and various state governments that publish these claims are seriously flawed.

The defamation claim by Mr. Pozner against Dr. Fetzer has not been proven to be the action of the Federal or any state government. This lack of proof is believed by WPTEFI to be the result of issue preclusion by the lower courts in this and other similar cases and the destruction of evidence at Sandy Hook Elementary School. The issue preclusion in this case included the denial to Dr. Fetzer of subpoena power to collect what facts remain available.

Among those remaining facts are the School was closed prior to the alleged shooting and the existence of approximately 469 former Sandy Hook School children and their families who are certain they were not present, much less evacuated, from the school on December 14, 2012, the date of the alleged mass murder.

It is the responsibility of this Court to establish rules and procedures to prevent the U.S. and any state government from making false claims. That effort should include the acceptance of Dr. Fetzer's Petition for Writ of Certiorari for review of this case.

## **B. The NWO Big Picture**

In August 1945, pursuant to authority granted by U.S. President Harry S. Truman, the U.S. dropped two atomic bombs on Japan. Those events sent shock waves among those who control the World. Their fear that future use of nuclear weapons would destroy the ability of humans to inhabit the Earth motivated them to implement a plan for a New World Order (the "NWO"). Under their plan, the people of the World will be governed by a central government. The purpose is to

eliminate the threat of nuclear war by independent nations.

Until his death, President George H. W. Bush was the spokesperson for the NWO movement in the United States. On September 11, 1990, he gave his State of the Union address to a joint session of Congress to announce the NWO vision as follows:

“We stand today at a unique and extraordinary moment. The crisis in the Persian Gulf, as grave as it is, also offers a rare opportunity to move toward an historic period of cooperation. Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective—a new world order—can emerge: a new era—freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice, and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, East and West, North and South, can prosper and live in harmony.”

To accomplish that result, NWO proponents believe in the transfer of all military power to the NWO. And the standard of living of the World’s population is to be adjusted to be equal. This will require a substantial reduction in the military power and standard of living of the people of the United States.

This case presents the opportunity for this Court to review the false flag, propaganda, and violence used by the NWO movement to accomplish its objectives. The goal is for this Court to repudiate the NWO and its methods as violations of 18 U.S. Code §2385—Advocating Overthrow of Government.



An NWO perceived impediment to their plan is the right of Americans to bear arms. In an intellectually honest environment, Americans would vote for politicians who want to preserve U.S. military might, superior standard of living and individual freedoms, including the right to bear arms.

However, the Smith-Mundt Modernization Act of 2012, H. R. 5735 amended the United States Information and Educational Exchange Act of 1948 (22 U.S. Code §1461) to authorize the Secretary of State and the Broadcasting Board of Governors to provide for the preparation and dissemination of information intended for foreign audiences abroad about the United States, to be published in the United States. This has allowed the Federal and state governments to create abnormal beliefs among their citizens, i.e., voluntary surrender of legal protections of their National interests. Critical comment of government and corporate behavior has been stifled. *New World Commc'ns of Tampa, Inc. v. Akre, et al.*, 866 So. 2d 1231, 1232 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2003), reh'g granted, modified (2004).

Persons within the NWO movement have been permitted by the U.S. justice system to commit false flags, such as Sandy Hook, which have been dramatically presented to vilify gun ownership. The judicial system has accepted the official Sandy Hook narrative without any court presentation of evidence subject to the grant of subpoena power to critics and cross examination that the event occurred.

The two cases cited by the Wisconsin Court of Appeals concerned preliminary matters unrelated to the question of did anyone die that day. Yet, the published

decisions in those cases and this case begin with the official narrative as though the occurrence were facts that were proved. See *Alex Jones, et al. v. Neil Haslin*, Texas Court of Appeals, Third District at Austin, No. 03-20-00008 CV (2020); *Soto v. Bushmaster, et al.*, 331 Conn. 53, 202 A. 3d 262 (2019); *Pozner v. Fetzer*, 27 Wis. Ct. App. 2021, 397 Wis 2d 243, 959 N. W. 2d 89 (2021). No attempts to prove or disprove nobody died at Sandy Hook were made in any of those cases. Accordingly, the quotes that Sandy Hook was real from those cases constitute non-binding dicta.

The purpose of the false Sandy Hook narrative is to induce the American people to voluntarily limit and ultimately surrender their Second Amendment right to bear arms.

WPTEFI urges this Court to accept review of Dr. Fetzer's Sandy Hook related circumstances to the end that the pros and cons of membership in the NWO and attendant surrender of private gun ownership be argued on the merits rather than be induced by staged gun violence and other forms of propaganda, false flags, and violence.

### **C. The Sandy Hook Big Picture**

The *modus operandi* ("MO") exemplified by Sandy Hook is to have a mass shooting, a patsy, destruction or withholding of evidence, and sensational media presentations.

This Court is requested to take judicial notice of this same MO in the events commonly known as Las

Vegas—Rt 91 music festival; Orlando—Pulse Nightclub; Virginia Tech; Sandy Hook; First Baptist Church—Sutherland, TX; Luby's Cafeteria, Killeen, TX; Walmart—El Paseo, TX; McDonald's—San Diego, CA; Robb Elementary School, Uvalde, TX; University of Texas—Tower shootings; Marjorie Stoneman Douglas HS, Parkland, FL; Fort Hood, Killeen, TX; Inland Regional Center, San Bernardino, CA; Post Office Shooting, Edmund, OK; Columbine HS; Binghamton NY; Wilkes-Barre, PA; Wah Mee, Seattle, WA; Aurora theater; Thousand Oaks; Washington, D.C. Navy Yard; VA Beach Shooting; Pittsburgh Synagogue; Easter Sunday Massacre, Hamilton, OH; Santa Fe school shooting, Santa Fe, TX; Geneva County Shootings, AL; Tops Friendly Markets, Buffalo, NY; King Soopers Supermarket, Boulder, CO; and Palm Sunday Massacre, Brooklyn, NY.

This list is long enough to cause an independent criminal investigation to intellectually honest professional standards of the events cited above. And, further, to put changes in law and practice to stop future similar events such as the requirement that all news releases be supported by the evidence available for verification by all interested parties. And the obligation of the government, information internet platforms, and media to use their best efforts to tell the truth.

WPTEFI suggests the place to start is within the U.S. Department of Justice and various other law enforcement agencies. And by order from this Court to stop the attack on the public right to possess assault weapons, mere inanimate objects, that offer honest

people, such as Mark and Patricia McCloskey, who used an assault weapon and a pistol from the porch of their home to waive off thugs who broke down the gate to their private enclave, the ability to protect themselves.

#### **D. Lower Court Error**

The Pozner Complaint included a jury demand. Dr. Fetzer never waived his right to a jury trial on the merits of his claim that Nobody Died at Sandy Hook. Yet, the trial court, in violation of Dr. Fetzer's Fourth Amendment right made applicable to the state of Wisconsin by the Fourteenth Amendment, granted Mr. Pozner summary judgment in disregard of the two expert witness opinions that the death certificate for Mr. Pozner's child alleged to have died at Sandy Hook was fake.

The entry of Summary Judgment in the face of fact disputes fails to satisfy:

“ . . . fundamental requirement(s) of due process which is an opportunity to be heard upon such notice and proceedings as are adequate to safeguard the right for which the constitutional protection is invoked.” *Anderson National Bank v. Lueckett*, 321 U.S. 233, 246 cited with approval in *Neylan v. Vorwald*, 121 Wis. 2d 481, 360 N. W. 537 (Ct. App. 1984); *Toledo Scale Co. v. Computing Scale Co.*, 261 U.S. 399 (1923); §806.07(2) Wis. Stat.; *Walker v. Tobin*, 209 Wis. 2d 73, 568 N. W. 303 (1997).

It must be remembered, Mr. Pozner had the burden of proof.



### CONCLUSION

Due process requires remand to determine by jury trial the answer to the question: Did anyone die at Sandy Hook.

As to the big pictures, it is incumbent upon this Court to use its National scope of authority to correct the flaws identified in this brief.

Dated this 8th day of June, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM SUMNER SCOTT  
511 S. Orange Avenue, #2088  
Newark, New Jersey 07103  
(908) 294-5363  
04wmsscott@comcast.net

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*