Case 2018CV003122 Document 77 Filed 04-12-2019 Page 1 of 2

FILED 04-12-2019 **CIRCUIT COURT DANE COUNTY, WI**

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

2018CV003122

LEONARD POZNER,

CASE TYPE: DEFAMATION

Plaintiff,

VS.

RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PATERNITY TEST

JAMES FETZER, MIKE PALECEK, and WRONGS WITHOUT WREMEDIES, LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2018-CV-003122

I, James Fetzer, representing myself pro se, respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Paternity Test with the following objections as follow:

- 1. There is no chain of custody for the DNA samples of the "real" Noah Pozner, so the test lacks any scientific control. Without knowing the origin of the sample, the test does not advance a legally-admissible finding.
- 2. It's highly irregular that a DNA sample would be taken by a government entity of a sixyear-old child in the absence of any legal proceeding—such as a paternity suit or a child victim of a sex crime—which would have required the sample prior to the time that the child's body was allegedly interred.
- 3. The book, Nobody Died at Sandy Hook (2015; 2nd ed., 2016), does not deny that Plaintiff has fathered children. The Complaint disputes the denial of authenticity of the death certificate that Plaintiff gave to Kelley Watt.

- 4. Plaintiff's photographs with his alleged son, "Noah Pozner", have appeared millions of times in newspapers, in magazines, in videos and on the Internet, which gives rise to the impression that he does have a son, to whom he has falsely referred as "Noah Pozner".
- 5. Defendant Fetzer and research colleagues have concluded that "Noah Pozner" is a fiction made up out of photographs of his purported older step-brother, Michael Vabner, as he has asserted in many videos, blogs and other publications.
- 6. A DNA sample of Michael Vabner would almost certainly show a relation of paternity to Plaintiff, whose real name (to the best of our present knowledge) is "Reuben Vabner".
- 7. This appears to be no more than a public-relations stunt by Plaintiff to gain sympathy without advancing the legal argument and therefore ought to be denied by the Court.

Date: 12 April 2013

Signed: Lang Def

James Fetzer